

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,037	01/28/2004	Koichiro Nakazawa	03500.017851	9610	
5514	7590 01/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			MARTIN,	MARTIN, LAURA E	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2853		
		DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/765,037	NAKAZAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Laura E. Martin	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/4/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takemoto et al. (US 6084619) in view of Kaneko et al. (US 2002/0041310) and Koitabashi et al. (US 6494569).

Takemoto et al. teaches an ink-jet recording process for conducting recording by ejecting a pigment ink and a reaction liquid containing a polyvalent metal salt (column 2, lines 22-30) from a recording section, in which a plurality of nozzles for ejecting the pigment ink and reaction liquid are arranged, to a recording medium while relatively scanning the recording section to the recording medium (column 11, lines 28-35), the process comprising the steps of: ejecting the pigment ink having a surface tension lower than that of the reaction liquid to the reaction liquid ejected from the surface of the recording medium (column 2, lines 22-30). Takemoto et al. does not teach forming a filmy aggregate by gathering of agglomerates at an interface where the reaction liquid has come into contact with the pigment ink. Takemoto et al. also does not teach the conditions for ejecting the reaction liquid in the ejection step or the conditions for

ejecting the reaction liquid to a prescribed area on the recording medium when at least the print duty of the ink in the prescribed area is 100% satisfy the relationship of

$$55 \times \frac{0.85 \times 10^6 \times Vd(pl)^{-0.61}}{R_3(\mathrm{dpi})R_3(\mathrm{dpi})} \leq \mathrm{duty}(\%) \leq 1.25 \times \frac{0.65 \times 10^6 \times Vd(pl)^{-0.61}}{R_3(\mathrm{dpi})R_3(\mathrm{dpi})}$$

wherein Vd (pl) is an ejection volume per dot of the reaction liquid, Rx (dpi) is a print resolution in the direction of the relative scanning Ry (dpi) is a print resolution in the arrangement direction of the nozzles, and duty (%) is a print duty of the reaction liquid.

Kaneko et al. teaches the conditions for ejecting the reaction liquid in the ejection step or the conditions for ejecting the reaction liquid to a prescribed area on the recording medium when at least the print duty of the ink in the prescribed area is 100% satisfy the relationship of

$$55 \times \frac{0.85 \times 10^6 \times Vd(pl)^{-9.61}}{Rx(\mathrm{dpi})Ry(\mathrm{dpi})} \le \mathrm{duty}(\%) \le 125 \times \frac{0.65 \times 10^6 \times Vd(pl)^{-9.61}}{Rx(\mathrm{dpi})Ry(\mathrm{dpi})}$$

wherein Vd (pl) is an ejection volume per dot of the reaction liquid, Rx (dpi) is a print resolution in the direction of the relative scanning Ry (dpi) is a print resolution in the arrangement direction of the nozzles [0151-0153], and duty (%) is a print duty of the reaction liquid [0171].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Takemoto et al. with that of Kaneko et al. in order to provide for a clear picture.

Koitabashi et al. teaches forming a filmy aggregate by gathering of agglomerates at an interface where the reaction liquid has come into contact with the pigment ink (column 1, lines 35-39 and column 3, lines 3-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Takemoto et al. with the disclosure of Koitabashi et al. in order to improve image quality.

Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koitabashi et al. (US 6494569) in view of Kaneko et al. (US 2002/0041310).

Koitabashi et al. teaches an ink-jet recording process for conducting recording by ejecting a pigment ink and a reaction liquid containing a polyvalent metal salt from a recording section (column 6, lines 62-65), in which a plurality of nozzles for ejecting the pigment ink and reaction liquid are arranged, to a recording medium while relatively scanning the recording section to the recording medium (column 16, lines 28-35), the process comprising the steps of: ejecting a reaction liquid to the recording medium (column 6, lines 65-67) and ejecting the pigment ink to the recording medium in such a manner that the pigment ink is brought into contact with the recording liquid present in a liquid state on the surface of the recording medium (column 7, lines 1-5); bringing the pigment ink into contact with an interface of the reaction liquid present on the surface of the recording medium (column 7, lines 1-5) and forming a filmy aggregate by gather of agglomerates at the interface where the reaction liquid has come into contact with the pigment ink (column 1, lines 35-39 and column 3, lines 3-5); and accelerating the

penetration of the reaction liquid with respect to the recording medium (column 6, lines 3-12).

Koitabashi et al. does not teach the conditions for ejecting the reaction liquid in the ejection step or the conditions for ejecting the reaction liquid to a prescribed area on the recording medium when at least the print duty of the ink in the prescribed area is 100% satisfy the relationship of

$$55 \times \frac{0.85 \times 10^6 \times Vd(pl)^{-0.61}}{Rx(\mathrm{dpi})Ry(\mathrm{dpi})} \le \mathrm{duty}(\%) \le 125 \times \frac{0.65 \times 10^6 \times Vd(pl)^{-0.61}}{Rx(\mathrm{dpi})Ry(\mathrm{dpi})}$$

wherein Vd (pl) is an ejection volume per dot of the reaction liquid, Rx (dpi) is a print resolution in the direction of the relative scanning Ry (dpi) is a print resolution in the arrangement direction of the nozzles, and duty (%) is a print duty of the reaction liquid.

Kaneko et al. teaches the conditions for ejecting the reaction liquid in the ejection step or the conditions for ejecting the reaction liquid to a prescribed area on the recording medium when at least the print duty of the ink in the prescribed area is 100% satisfy the relationship of

$$55 \times \frac{0.85 \times 10^6 \times Vd(pl)^{-9.61}}{Rx(\mathrm{dpi})Ry(\mathrm{dpi})} \le \mathrm{duty}(\%) \le 125 \times \frac{0.85 \times 10^6 \times Vd(pl)^{-9.61}}{Rx(\mathrm{dpi})Ry(\mathrm{dpi})}$$

wherein Vd (pl) is an ejection volume per dot of the reaction liquid, Rx (dpi) is a print resolution in the direction of the relative scanning Ry (dpi) is a print resolution in the arrangement direction of the nozzles [0151-0153], and duty (%) is a print duty of the reaction liquid [0171].

Application/Control Number: 10/765,037 Page 6

Art Unit: 2853

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Koitabashi et al. with the disclosure of Kaneko et al. in order to improve print quality.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura E. Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura E. Martin

MANISH S. SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINED